

SCHOLARSHIP CRITERIA CHECK LIST

Paper Identification Code: _____ **(Last four digits of SSN)**

Date Received: _____

CRITERIA		RECEIVED
1.	Literature review relating to respiratory care. Paper copy/electronic copy (either e-mail or disc)	
2.	Letter of recommendation for this scholarship	
3.	Completed Application	

Lambda Beta Society Scholarship Paper Scoring Rubric

Scoring Rubric for Lambda Beta Scholarship Papers

Element	0	1	2	3
<p>Relevance: The paper demonstrates clear implications for the profession of respiratory care. Areas of practice may include cardiopulmonary diagnostics, continuing care/rehabilitation, management, education, adult acute care, perinatal/pediatric care, subacute care, transport, or home respiratory care and research.</p> <p>*Weight this element x2</p>	<p>Information has little or nothing to do with contemporary practice of respiratory care.</p>	<p>Information presented relates to the practice of respiratory care. No details and/or examples were given.</p>	<p>Information clearly relates to the practice of respiratory care. It includes supporting details and/or examples for one or two clinical situations.</p>	<p>Information clearly relates to the practice of respiratory care. It includes supporting details and/or examples for multiple clinical situations.</p>
<p>Content: An appropriate amount of information is presented. While the amount of information presented will be determined by the topic, it is recommended that the length not exceed 3,000 words or approximately 11 double-spaced pages, including references, tables and figures</p> <p>*Weight this element x3</p>	<p>The paper is cursory and does not adequately address any element of respiratory care.</p> <p>No summary is provided.</p>	<p>The paper pulls statements directly from sources without expansion.</p> <p>Summary is present but lacks organization.</p>	<p>The paper contains several direct statements from resources, not all statements are expanded to show consistent evidence of critical thinking.</p> <p>Summary is present and well organized.</p>	<p>The paper presents critical and supportive information from relevant resources. Critical thinking is demonstrated consistently.</p> <p>Summary is present and well organized.</p>
<p>Methodological Adequacy: The method of reporting should include an introduction which addresses the theme or purpose and/or a statement of the problem; a background or overview of pertinent literature; and, the body of the paper should include documented supporting evidence. Manuscripts reporting empirical studies must have clearly described designs, methods, and clearly formulated findings/conclusions supported by valid, reliable data. Other manuscripts (e.g., on theory development, methodologic issues, or review of the literature) must be supported by appropriate documentation, reasoning and/or examples.</p> <p>*Weight this element x3</p>	<p>The paper is not adequate based on the method of presentation. It is missing one or more of the required methodological elements.</p>	<p>The paper is marginally adequate based on the method of presentation. While all elements are present based on the methodological choice, one or more elements are missing or the findings/conclusions superficial.</p>	<p>The paper is adequate based on the method of presentation. All elements are present based on the methodological choice; minor errors are present.</p>	<p>The paper meets all of the requirements of the methodologic choice in its method of presentation.</p> <p>Also included are supporting details and/or examples.</p>

Lambda Beta Society Scholarship Paper Scoring Rubric

Element	0	1	2	3
<p>Citations and References: Manuscripts and citations should be prepared in accordance with the RC Journal format. Please see http://rc.rcjournal.com/content/author-guidelines. Include citations for images that are not open source in the paper. Place the references at the end of the paper. References should be listed as they appear in the text, not alphabetically. Include year, volume number, and inclusive page numbers. Double-space references.</p> <p>Weight this element x2</p>	<p>Citations are not included.</p> <p>References are not drawn from an appropriate scientific (e.g., peer reviewed) literature base.</p>	<p>Citations do not conform to RC Journal requirements.</p> <p>References include, but are not consistently drawn, from the scientific literature.</p>	<p>Citations do not conform to RC Journal requirements.</p> <p>References are consistently drawn from peer reviewed literature.</p>	<p>Citations are cited correctly.</p> <p>References are consistently drawn from the peer reviewed literature.</p>
<p>Organization, Style, and Proofreading: A scholarly paper presents evidence of critical thinking and the ability of the student to show transition between paragraphs and topics.</p> <p>The presentation and article content are the responsibility of the author. Authors should make every attempt to proof their article for errors in spelling, sequencing of content, structure and style before submitting their article to Lambda Beta.</p> <p>Weight this element x2</p>	<p>Presentation of paper is too elementary.</p> <p>Logic of arguments is not made clear; transition between topics is not evident.</p> <p>Numerous (>5) errors in grammar or spelling per page.</p>	<p>Aspects of paper are too elementary.</p> <p>Organization of paper appears haphazard; transition between topics is not evident.</p> <p>Several (3 to 5) errors in grammar or spelling per page).</p>	<p>The paper is generally clear; a few minor points may be confusing or not well presented.</p> <p>The paper is generally well organized; transition between topics is logical and shows evidence of critical thinking.</p> <p>Few errors (<3) in grammar or spelling per page.</p>	<p>The paper is clear, logical and organized.</p> <p>No errors in grammar or spelling per page.</p>

Lambda Beta Society Scholarship Paper Scoring Rubric

Element	0	1	2	3
<p>Manuscript Format: The manuscript (including references) should be an original, double-spaced and typed/printed on standard size white paper. Use only Times New Roman 11 point font size with 1 inch margins. Print only on one side of the paper. A separate cover page should include the title of the article and the last 4 digits of the author's social security number</p>	The manuscript does not comply with the any of the guidelines.	The manuscript is not in compliance with more than one of the formatting guidelines.	The manuscript is in compliance with at least 50% of the formatting guidelines.	The manuscript is in full compliance with the guidelines.

Points awarded to this paper	
Maximum points possible	39
Percentage of points awarded to this paper	